IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI WESTERN DIVISION

AMERICAN CENTRAL INSURANCE COMPANY

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:05-cv-143 (DCB) (JMR)

MASSEY LAND & TIMBER, LLC; DAVID BREWER, INC.; BREWER CONSTRUCTION, INC.; AND PEAR ORCHARD VICKSBURG, LLC

DEFENDANTS

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This cause is before the Court on the plaintiff American Central Insurance Company ("American Central")'s motion to dismiss (docket entry 51). Having carefully considered the motion and responses, the memoranda and applicable law, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds as follows:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) provides the standard for the plaintiff's motion for voluntary dismissal: "[A]n action shall not be dismissed at the plaintiff's instance save upon order of the court and upon such terms and conditions as the court deems proper ... Unless otherwise specified in the order, a dismissal under this paragraph is without prejudice." Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(2). A district court's grant or denial of a voluntary dismissal, and any conditions attached thereto, is reviewed under an abuse of discretion standard. Elbaor v. Tripath Imaging, Inc., 279 F.3d 314, 318 (5th Cir. 2002). The Fifth Circuit has held that "motions for voluntary dismissal should be freely granted unless the non-moving party will suffer some plain legal prejudice other than the

mere prospect of a second lawsuit." Elbaor v. Tripath Imaging, Inc., 279 F.3d 314, 317 (5th Cir. 2002). Plain legal prejudice occurs where the grant of a motion for voluntary dismissal causes the nonmovant to be stripped of an otherwise available defense. Id. at 318-19.

Defendants Massey Land & Timber, LLC ("Massey") (docket entries 52, 53, 54), and Brewer Construction Company, Inc. ("BCI") (docket entries 55, 56), have joined in the plaintiff's motion. The remaining defendants have not responded. Therefore, none of the defendants has shown that dismissal without prejudice would strip them of an otherwise available defense, nor that any prejudice to them would result from the voluntary dismissal.

In addition, the Court finds that it is without jurisdiction over this declaratory judgment action. The plaintiff's motion shows that the sole issue in this case was whether American Central had a duty to indemnify its insured, Massey, in the underlying state court action. Following a final judgment against Massey and BCI, Massey and BCI appealed to the Mississippi Supreme Court, which reversed the judgment against them. A petition for rehearing has been denied. The state court action has been tried to completion, resulting in a judgment in Massey's favor; therefore, the issue of whether American Central has a duty to indemnify Massey is moot.

A declaratory judgment may only issue if there exists "a case

of actual controversy" before the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a). Since there no longer exists a case or controversy to support a claim for declaratory relief, there are no claims left at issue in this action and it appears that dismissal is appropriate. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the plaintiff American Central Insurance Company's motion to dismiss (docket entry 51) is GRANTED, and this action is dismissed as moot without prejudice.

SO ORDERED, this the 20^{th} day of February, 2007.

s/David Bramlette
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE